### STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

NOV 25 1996

C6-84-2134

FILED

PROMULGATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE MINNESOTA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

## ORDER

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court Advisory Committee on the Rules of Civil Procedure has recommended certain amendments to the Rules of Civil Procedure; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 1996, the Supreme Court held a hearing on the proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court has reviewed the proposals and is fully advised in the premises,

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 1. The attached amendments to the Rules of Civil Procedure be, and the same are, hereby prescribed and promulgated to be effect on January 1, 1997.
- 2. The attached amendments shall apply to all actions pending on the effective date and to those filed thereafter.
- 3. The inclusion of Advisory Committee comments is made for convenience and does not reflect court approval of the comments made therein.

Dated: November 22, 1996

BY THE COURT:

us Ceith

A.M. KEITH Chief Justice

# C6-84-2134 STATE OF MINNESOTA IN SUPREME COURT

In re:

Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure

#### 1 RULE 1 SCOPE OF RULES

These rules govern the procedure in the district courts of the State of Minnesota in all 3 suits of a civil nature, with the exceptions stated in Rule 81. They shall be construed and administered to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action.

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS** 

This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The amendment is intended to make clear that the goals of just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of litigation are just as important—if not more important—in questions that do not involve interpretation of the rules. These goals should guide all aspects of judicial administration, and this amendment expressly so states.

#### **11 RULE 4 SERVICE**

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33 34

**35** 36

**37** 

12 \* \* \*

**13** 4.04 Service by Publications; Personal Service out of State

(a) Service by Publications. Service by publication shall be sufficient to confer 14 15 jurisdiction:

- (a1) When the defendant is a resident individual domiciliary having departed from the state with intent to defraud creditors, or to avoid service, or remains concealed therein with the like intent;
- (b2) When the plaintiff has acquired a lien upon property or credits within the state by attachment or garnishment, and
  - (1A) The defendant is a resident individual who has departed from the state, or cannot be found therein, or
  - (2B) The defendant is a nonresident individual or a foreign corporation, partnership or association:

When quasi in rem jurisdiction has been obtained, a party defending the action thereby submits personally to the jurisdiction of the court. An appearance solely to contest the validity of quasi in rem jurisdiction is not such a submission.

- (e3) When the action is for marriage dissolution or separate maintenance and the court has ordered service by published notice;
- (44) When the subject of the action is real or personal property within the state in or upon which the defendant has or claims a lien or interest, or the relief demanded consists wholly or partly in excluding the defendant from any such interest or lien;
- (e5) When the action is to foreclose a mortgage or to enforce a lien on real estate within the state.

The summons may be served by three weeks' published notice in any of the cases 38 enumerated herein when the complaint and an affidavit of the plaintiff's 39 attorney have been filed with the court. The affidavit shall state the existence of one of the 40 enumerated cases, and that affiant believes the defendant is not a resident of the state or 41 cannot be found therein, and either that the affiant has mailed a copy of the summons to the 42 defendant at the defendant's place of residence or that such residence is not known to the

43 affiant. The service of the summons shall be deemed complete 21 days after the first 44 publication. (b) Personal Service Outside State. Personal service of such summons outside the 45 46 state, proved by the affidavit of the person making the same sworn to before a person authorized to administer an oath, shall have the same effect as the published notice provided 48 for herein. 49 (c) Service Outside United States. Unless otherwise provided by law, service upon an 50 individual, other than an infant or an incompetent person, may be effected in a place not 51 within the state: (1) by any internationally agreed means reasonably calculated to give 52 notice, such as those means authorized by the Hague Convention on the 53 Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents: or 54 (2) if there is no internationally agreed means of service or the 55 applicable international agreement allows other means of service, provided that 56 service is reasonably calculated to give notice: 57 (A) in the manner prescribed by the law of the foreign 58 country for service in that country in an action in any of its 59 60 courts of general jurisdiction; or (B) as directed by the foreign authority in response to a 61 letter rogatory or letter of request; or 62 (C) unless prohibited by the law of the foreign country, 63 64 <u>by</u> (i) delivery to the individual personally of 65 a copy of the summons and the complaint: 66 67 68 (ii) any form of mail requiring a signed receipt, to be addressed and dispatched by 69 the court administrator to the party to be 70 71 served: or (3) by other means not prohibited by international agreement as may be 72 directed by the court. 73 ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS 75 76 77 78 Rule 4.04 is amended to conform the rule to its federal counterpart, in part. The new provision adopts verbatim the provisions for service of process outside the United States contained in the federal rules. This modification is appropriate because this subject is handled well by the federal rule and because it is advantageous to have the **79** two rules similar. This is particularly valuable given the dearth of state-court authority on foreign service of process. Existing portions of the rule are renumbered for clarity. 81 RULE 5 SERVICE AND FILING OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS 82 \* \* \* **83** 5.02 Service: How Made

85 represented by an attorney, the service shall be made upon the attorney unless service upon

Whenever under these rules service is required or permitted to be made upon a party

84

86 the party is ordered by the court. Written admission of service by the party or the party's 87 attorney shall be sufficient proof of service. Service upon the attorney or upon a party shall 88 be made by delivering a copy to the attorney or party; transmitting a copy by facsimile 89 machine to the attorney or party's office; or by mailing a copy to the attorney or party at 90 either's the attorney's or party's last known address or, if no address is known, by leaving it 91 with the court administrator. Delivery of a copy within this rule means: Handing it to the 92 attorney or to the party; or leaving it at either's the attorney's or party's office with a clerk or 93 other person in charge thereof; or, if there is no one in charge, leaving it in a conspicuous 94 place therein; or, if the office is closed or the person to be served has no office, leaving it at 95 the attorney's or party's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of suitable 96 age and discretion then residing therein. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. Service 97 by facsimile is complete upon completion of the facsimile transmission.

98 \* \* \*

117

119 120

121

**99** 5.04 Filing Certificate of Service

100 Upon the filing of any paper with the court, all papers required to be served upon a 101 party shall be filed with the court either before service or within a reasonable time thereafter: 102 but unless filing is ordered by the court on motion or upon its own initiative, depositions, 103 interrogatories, requests to admit, and requests for production and answers and responses 104 thereto shall not be filed. Unless required to be filed for issuance of a subpoena for a 105 deposition, a notice of taking deposition need not be filed. All papers after the complaint 106 required to be served upon a party, together with a certificate of service, shall be filed with 107 the court within a reasonable time after service, except expert disclosures and reports, 108 depositions upon oral examination and interrogatories, requests for documents, requests for 109 admission, and answers and responses thereto shall not be filed unless upon order of the court 110 or for use in the proceeding.

#### 111 5.05 Filing: Facsimile Transmission

Any paper may be filed with the court by facsimile transmission. Filing shall be 112 113 deemed complete at the time that the facsimile transmission is received by the court and the 114 filed facsimile shall have the same force and effect as the original. Only facsimile 115 transmission equipment that satisfies the published criteria of the Supreme Court shall be used 116 for filing in accordance with this rule.

Within 5 days after the court has received the transmission, the party filing the 118 document shall forward the following to the court:

- (a) a \$5 transmission fee; and
- (b) the original signed document; and
- (c) the applicable filing fee, if any.

Upon failure to comply with the requirements of this rule, the court in which the 122 123 action is pending may make such orders as are just, including but not limited to, an order 124 striking pleadings or parts thereof, staying further proceedings until compliance is complete, 125 or dismissing the action, proceeding, or any part thereof.

The administrator shall not refuse to accept for filing any paper presented for that 126 127 purpose solely because it is not presented in proper form as required by these rules or any 128 local rules or practices.

### 129 ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

Most of Rule 5.02 is new and for the first time provides for service by facsimile. Service by this method has become widespread, generally handled either by express agreement of counsel or acquiescence in a service method not explicitly authorized by rule.

The committee considered a suggestion that the provision for leaving a document with the court administrator be changed, deleted, or clarified. Although it is not clear from the rule what the administrator should do in the rare event that a document is filed with the administrator rather than delivered or mailed to the attorney, the committee believes the rule should be retained as it provides notice to the court that although service may comply with the rule, effective notice has not been received by the party entitled to notice. This will facilitate the court's consideration of the sufficiency of service under all of the circumstances.

The amendment to Rule 5.02 provides an express mechanism for service by facsimile. Service by facsimile has become widely accepted and is used in Minnesota either by agreement or presumption that it is acceptable under the rules or at least has not been objected to by the parties. The committee believes an express authorization for service by facsimile is appropriate and preferable to the existing silence on the subject. The committee's recommendation is modeled on similar provisions in the Wisconsin and Florida rules. See Wis. Stat. §§ 801.14(2) & .15(5)(b); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.080(b)(5). Service by facsimile is allowed in other jurisdictions as well. See, e.g., Ill. S. Ct. R. 11(b)(4); S. Dak. R. 15-6-5(b); Cal. R. Civ. P. 2008.

In addition providing for service by facsimile, Rule 6.05 is amended to create a specific deadline for timely service. This rule adds an additional day for response to any paper served by any means other than mail (where 3 extra days are allowed under existing Rule 6.05, which is retained) and where service is not effected until after 5:00 p.m., local time. This rule is intended to discourage, or at least make unrewarding, the inappropriate practice of serving papers after the close of a normal business day. Service after 5:00 p.m. is still *timely* as of the day of service if the deadline for service is that day, but if a response is permitted, the party served has an additional day to respond. This structure parallels directly the mechanism for dealing with service by mail under the existing rule.

Rule 5.05 is amended to add a provision relating to filing that was adopted as part of Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(e) in 1991. It is important that Rule 5 specifically provide that the court administrator must accept for filing documents tendered for that purpose regardless of any technical deficiencies they may contain. The court may, of course, direct that those deficiencies be remedied or give substantive importance to the deficiencies of the documents. The sanction of closing the courthouse to the filing should not be imposed or if imposed, should be imposed by a judge only after reviewing the document and the circumstances surrounding its filing. The rejection of documents for filing may have dire consequences for litigants and is not authorized by statute or rule.

### 171 RULE 6 TIME

### 172 6.01 Computation

In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by these rules, by the local rules of any district court, by order of court, or by any applicable statute, the day of the act, event, or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday, or, when the act to be done is the filing of a paper in court, a day on which weather or other conditions have made the office of the court administrator inaccessible, in which event the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a

- 180 Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday one of the aforementioned days. When the period of
- 181 time prescribed or allowed is less than 7 days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal
- 182 holidays shall be excluded in the computation. As used in this rule and in Rule 77(c), "legal
- 183 holiday" includes any holiday defined or designated by statute.

### 184 \* \* \*

185 6.04 For Motions; Affidavits

A written motion, other than one which may be heard ex parte, and notice of the hearing thereof shall be served no later than 5 days before the time specified for the hearing, unless a different period is fixed by these rules or by order of the court. Such an order may for cause shown be made on ex parte application. A motion may be supported by papers on file by reference; supporting papers not on file When a motion is supported by affidavit, the affidavit shall be served with the motion; and, except as otherwise provided in Rule 59.04, opposing affidavits may be served not later than one day before the hearing, unless the court permits them to be served at some other time.

## 194 6.05 Additional Time After Service by Mail or Service Late in Day

Whenever a party has the right or is required to <u>do some</u> act <u>or take some proceedings</u> within a prescribed period after the service of a notice or other paper upon the party, or whenever such service is required to be made a prescribed period before a specified event, and the notice or paper is served <u>upon the party</u> by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. If service is made by any means other than mail and accomplished after 5:00 p.m. local time on the day of service, one additional day shall be added to the prescribed period.

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

The amendment to Rule 6.01 conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The committee believes it is desirable to define explicitly what constitutes a "legal holiday." Given the nature of Minnesota's weather, the committee believes specific provision for dealing with inclement weather should be made in the rules. The federal rule enumerates specific holidays. That drafting approach is not feasible in Minnesota because Minn. Stat. § 645.44, subd. 5, defines legal holidays, but allows the judiciary to pick either Columbus Day or the Friday after Thanksgiving as a holiday. Whichever is selected is defined to be a holiday under the rule.

The amendment to Rule 6.05 conforms the rule to the federal rule except for the last sentence which is new and has no federal counterpart. This provision is intended to discourage the unseemly practices of sliding a "service" under the door of opposing counsel or sending a facsimile transmission after the close of business and asserting timely service. Such service will be timely under the rules, but will add a day to the time to respond. If the paper is due to be served a fixed number of days before an event, that number should be increased by one as well, making it necessary to serve late in the day before the deadline.

## 219 RULE 16 PRETRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

### 220 \* \* \*

202

203

204

205

**206** 

207

208

209

210

211

212 213

214 215

216

217

218

221 16.03 Subjects for Consideration

222 The participants aAt any conference held pursuant to under this rule may consider and 223 take consideration may be given, and the court may take appropriate action, with respect to:

- (a) the formulation and simplification of the issues, including the elimination of 224 225 frivolous claims or defenses;
  - (b) the necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings:
- 227 (c) the possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents which will avoid 228 unnecessary proof, stipulations regarding the authenticity of documents, and advance rulings from the court on the admissibility of evidence;
- (d) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and of cumulative evidence; and limitations 231 or restrictions on the use of testimony under Rule 702 of the Minnesota Rules of Evidence:
  - (e) the appropriateness and timing of summary adjudication under Rule 56;
- (f) the advisability of referring matters pursuant to Rule 53; the control and 234 scheduling of discovery, including orders affecting discovery pursuant to Rule 26 and Rules 235 29 through 37;
- (eg) the identification of witnesses and documents, the need and schedule for filing 237 and exchanging pretrial briefs, and the date or dates for further conferences and for trial;
  - (fh) the advisability of referring matters pursuant to Rule 53:
- 239 (g) the possibility of settlement or the use of extrajudicial procedures to resolve the 240 dispute:
- (i) settlement and the use of special procedures to assist in resolving the dispute when 242 authorized by statute or rule:
  - (hi) the form and substance of the pretrial order:
  - (ik) the disposition of pending motions:

226

230

232

233

236

238

241

243 244

245

248

252

255

258

262

- (i) the need for adopting special procedures for managing potentially difficult or 246 protracted actions that may involve complex issues, multiple parties, difficult legal questions, 247 or unusual proof problems; and
- (k) such other matters as may aid in the disposition of the action. At least one of the 249 attorneys for each party participating in any conference before trial shall have authority to 250 enter into stipulations and to make admissions regarding all matters that the participants may 251 reasonably anticipate may be discussed.
- (m) an order for a separate trial pursuant to Rule 42.02 with respect to a claim. 253 counterclaim, cross-claim, or third-party claim, or with respect to any particular issue in the 254 case:
- (n) an order directing a party or parties to present evidence early in the trial with 256 respect to a manageable issue that could, on the evidence, be the basis for a directed verdict under Rule 50.01 or an involuntary dismissal under Rule 41.02(b): 257
- (o) an order establishing a reasonable limit on the time allowed for presenting 259 evidence: and
- (p) such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition 260 261 of the action.

At least one of the attorneys for each party participating in any conference before trial 263 shall have authority to enter into stipulations and to make admissions regarding all matters 264 that the participants may reasonably anticipate may be discussed. If appropriate, the court 265 may require that a party or its representative be present or reasonably available by telephone 266 in order to consider possible settlement of the dispute.

#### 267 ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS 268 This change conforms Rule 16.03 to its federal counterpart. The rule is expanded 269 to enumerate many of the functions with which pretrial conferences must deal. 270 271 Although the courts have inherent power to deal with these matters even in the absence of a rule, it is desirable to have the appropriate subjects for consideration at pretrial 272 conferences expressly provided for by rule. The federal changes expressly provide for 273 discussion of settlement, in part, to remove any confusion over the power of the court 274 to order participation in court-related settlement efforts. See, e.g., G. Heileman 275 276 277 Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989); Strandell v. Jackson County, Ill. (In re Tobin), 838 F.2d 884 (7th Cir. 1988); Klothe v. Smith, 771 F.2d 667 (2d Cir. 1985); Buss v. Western Airlines, Inc., 738 F.2d 1053 (9th Cir. 1984).

#### 278 **RULE 28** PERSONS BEFORE WHOM DEPOSITIONS MAY BE TAKEN

279 \* \* \*

281

296

280 28.02 In Foreign Countries

In a foreign country, depositions may be taken (1) on notice before a person 282 authorized to administer oaths in the place in which the examination is held, either by the law 283 thereof or by the law of the United States, or (2) before a person commissioned by the court, 284 and a person so commissioned shall have the power by virtue of the commission to 285 administer any necessary oath and take testimony, or (3) pursuant to a letter rogatory. A 286 commission or a letter regatory shall be issued on application and notice, and on terms that 287 are just and appropriate. It is not requisite to the issuance of a commission or a letter 288 regatory that the taking of the deposition in any other manner is impracticable or 289 inconvenient; and both a commission and a letter rogatory may be issued in proper cases. A 290 notice or commission may designate the person before whom the deposition is to be taken 291 either by name or descriptive title. A letter rogatory may be addressed "To the Appropriate 292 Authority in (here name the country)." Evidence obtained in response to a letter rogatory 293 need not be excluded merely for the reason that it is not a verbatim transcript or that the 294 testimony was not taken under oath or for any similar departure from the requirements for 295 depositions taken within the United States pursuant to these rules.

Depositions may be taken in a foreign country (1) pursuant to any applicable treaty or 297 convention, or (2) pursuant to a letter of request (whether or not captioned a letter rogatory), 298 or (3) on notice before a person authorized to administer oaths in the place where the 299 examination is held, either by the law thereof or by the law of the United States, or (4) before 300 a person commissioned by the court, and a person so commissioned shall have the power by 301 virtue of the commission to administer any necessary oath and take testimony. A commission 302 or a letter of request shall be issued on application and notice and on terms that are just and 303 appropriate. It is not requisite to the issuance of a commission or a letter of request that the 304 taking of the deposition in any other manner is impracticable or inconvenient; and both a 305 commission and a letter of request may be issued in proper cases. A notice or commission 306 may designate the person before whom the deposition is to be taken either by name or 307 descriptive title. A letter of request may be addressed "To the Appropriate Authority in [here 308 name the country]." When a letter of request or any other device is used pursuant to any 309 applicable treaty or convention, it shall be captioned in the form prescribed by that treaty or 310 convention. Evidence obtained in response to a letter of request need not be excluded merely

- 311 because it is not a verbatim transcript, because the testimony was not taken under oath, or
- 312 because of any similar departure from the requirements for depositions taken within the
- 313 United States under these rules.

### 314 <u>ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS</u>

This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The committee believes it is especially desirable to have this rule identical to the federal rule because of its subject matter. In addition to the usual factors favoring uniformity, this is a provision governed largely by federal law and which may need to be understood and applied by court reporters, consular or embassy officials, and other non-lawyers. Conformity to the federal rule increases the prospects that the rule will be followed and will not impose significant additional burdens on the litigants.

### 322 RULE 29 STIPULATIONS REGARDING DISCOVERY PROCEDURE

323 <u>Unless otherwise directed by the court</u> The parties may by stipulation (1) provide that 324 depositions may be taken before any person, at any time or place, upon any notice, and in any 325 manner, and when so taken may be used like other depositions, and (2) modify the procedures

326 provided in these rules for other methods of discovery. other procedures governing or

327 limitations placed upon discovery, except that stipulations extending the time provided in

328 Rules 33, 34, and 36 for responses to discovery may, if they would interfere with any time set

329 for completion of discovery, for hearing of a motion, or for trial, be made only with the

330 approval of the court.

331

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The committee believes it is desirable to permit stipulations regarding discovery whenever those stipulations do not impact the court's handling of the action. Particularly in state court practice, it is often necessary to extend discovery deadlines—without affecting other case management deadlines—and the parties should be encouraged to do so. Counsel agreeing to discovery after a deadline should not expect court assistance in enforcing discovery obligations nor should non-completion affect any other motions, hearings, or other case management procedures.

### 340 RULE 30 DEPOSITIONS UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

341 \*\*\*

342 30.02 Notice of Examination: General Requirements: Special Notice; Non-Stenographic Method of Recording; Production of Documents and Things; Deposition of Organization; Depositions by Telephone.

(a) A party desiring to take the deposition of any person upon oral examination shall give reasonable notice in writing to every other party to the action. The notice shall state the name and place for taking the deposition and the name and address of each person to be examined, if known, and, if the name is not known, a general description sufficient to identify the person or the particular class or group to which the person belongs. If a subpoena duces tecum is to be served on the person to be examined, the designation of the materials to be produced as set forth in the subpoena shall be attached to or included in the notice.

352 (b) Leave of court is not required for the taking of a deposition by plaintiff if the 353 notice states that the person to be examined will be unavailable for examination within the

354 state unless the person's deposition is taken before expiration of the 30 day period, and sets 355 forth facts to support the statement. The plaintiffs attorney shall sign the notice, certifying 356 thereby that to the best of the attorney's knowledge, information, and belief, the statement and 357 supporting facts are true. Rule 11 sanctions are applicable to the certification. If a party 358 shows that, after being served with notice hereunder, the party was unable through exercise of 359 diligence to obtain counsel to represent the party at the taking of the deposition, the 360 deposition may not be used against such party.

361

366

371

377

380

383

The party taking the deposition shall state in the notice the method by which the 362 testimony shall be recorded. Unless the court orders otherwise, it may be recorded by sound, 363 sound-and-visual, or stenographic means, and the party taking the deposition shall bear the 364 cost of the recording. Any party may arrange for a transcription to be made from the 365 recording of a deposition taken by non-stenographic means.

- (c) The court may for cause shown enlarge or shorten the time for taking the 367 deposition. With prior notice to the deponent and other parties, any party may designate 368 another method to record the deponent's testimony in addition to the method specified by the 369 person taking the deposition. The additional record or transcript shall be made at that party's 370 expense unless the court otherwise orders.
- (d) The court may upon motion order that the testimony at a deposition be recorded 372 by other than stenographic means, in which event the order shall designate the manner of 373 recording, preserving, and filing the deposition, and may include other provisions to assure 374 that the recorded testimony will be accurate and trustworthy. If the order is made, a party 375 may nevertheless arrange to have a stenographic transcription made at the party's own 376 expense.

Any deposition pursuant to these rules may be taken by means of simultaneous audio 378 and visual electronic recording without leave of court or stipulation of the parties if the 379 deposition is taken in accordance with the provisions of this rule.

In addition to the specific provisions of this rule, the taking of video depositions is 381 governed by all other rules governing the taking of depositions unless the nature of the video 382 deposition makes compliance impossible or unnecessary.

- (d) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, a deposition shall be conducted before an 384 officer appointed or designated under Rule 28 and shall begin with a statement on the record 385 by the officer that includes (A) the officer's name and business address; (B) the date, time. 386 and place of the deposition; (C) the name of the deponent; (D) the administration of the oath 387 or affirmation to the deponent; and (E) an identification of all persons present. If the 388 deposition is recorded other than stenographically, the officer shall repeat items (A) through 389 (C) at the beginning of each unit of recorded tape or other recording medium. The 390 appearance or demeanor of deponents or attorneys shall not be distorted through camera or 391 sound-recording techniques. At the end of the deposition, the officer shall state on the record 392 that the deposition is complete and shall set forth any stipulations made by counsel 393 concerning the custody of the transcript or recording and the exhibits, or concerning other 394 pertinent matters.
- (e) The notice to a party deponent may include or be accompanied by a request made 395 396 in compliance with Rule 34 for the production of documents and tangible things at the taking 397 of the deposition. The procedure of Rule 34 shall apply to the request.

- 398 (f) A party may in the party's notice and in a subpoena name as the deponent a public 399 or private corporation or a partnership, association, or governmental agency and describe with 400 reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested. In that event, the 401 organization so named shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or 402 other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person 403 designated, the matters on which the person will testify. A subpoena shall advise a non-party 404 organization of its duty to make such a designation. The persons so designated shall testify 405 as to matters known or reasonably available to the organization. This provision does not 406 preclude taking a deposition by any other procedure authorized in these rules.
- 407 (g) The parties may stipulate in writing or the court may upon motion order that a 408 deposition be taken by telephone or other remote electronic means. For the purposes of this 409 rule and Rules 28.01, 37.01(a), 37.02(a) and 45.04, a deposition taken by telephone such 410 means is taken in the district and at the place where the deponent is to answer questions 411 propounded.

## 412 30.03 Examination and Cross-Examination; Record of Examination; Oath; Objections.

413 Examination and cross-examination of witnesses may proceed as permitted at the trial 414 pursuant to Rule 43.02 under the provisions of the Minnesota Rules of Evidence except Rules 415 103 and 615. The officer before whom the deposition is to be taken shall put the witness on 416 oath or affirmation and shall personally, or by someone acting under the officer's direction 417 and in the officer's presence, record the testimony of the witness. The testimony shall be 418 taken stenographically or recorded by any other means ordered in accordance with Rule 419 30.02(d). If requested by one of the parties, the testimony shall be transcribed.

All objections made at the time of the examination to the qualifications of the officer 421 taking the deposition, or to the manner of taking it, or to the evidence presented, or to the 422 conduct of any party, and or to any other objection to aspect of the proceedings shall be noted 423 by the officer upon the deposition-; but the examination shall proceed, with the testimony 424 being Evidence objected to shall be taken subject to the objections. In lieu of participating in 425 the oral examination, a party may serve written questions in a sealed envelope on the party 426 taking the deposition and that the party taking the deposition shall transmit them to the 427 officer, who shall propound them to the witness and record the answers verbatim.

## 428 30.04 Schedule and Duration; Motion to Terminate or Limit Examination

420

429 At any time during the taking of the deposition, on motion of a party or the deponent 430 and upon a showing that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in such manner 431 as unreasonably to annoy, embarrass, or oppress the deponent or party, the court in which the 432 action is pending or the court in the district where the deposition is being taken may order the 433 officer conducting the examination to cease forthwith from taking the deposition, or may limit 434 the scope and manner of the taking of the deposition as provided in Rule 26.03. If that order 435 terminates the examination, it shall be resumed thereafter only upon the order of the court in 436 which the action is pending. Upon demand of the objecting party or deponent, the taking of 437 the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion for an order. The 438 provisions of Rule 37.01(d) apply to the award of expenses incurred in connection with the 439 motion.

(a) Any objection to evidence during a deposition shall be stated concisely and in a 441 non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner. A party may instruct a deponent not to 442 answer only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation on evidence 443 directed by the court, or to present a motion under paragraph (c).

(b) By order the court may limit the time permitted for the conduct of a deposition. 445 but shall allow additional time consistent with Rule 26.02(a) if needed for a fair examination 446 of the deponent or if the deponent or another party impedes or delays the examination. If the 447 court finds such an impediment, delay, or other conduct that has frustrated the fair 448 examination of the deponent, it may impose upon the persons responsible an appropriate 449 sanction, including the reasonable costs and attorney's fees incurred by any parties as a result 450 thereof.

(c) At any time during a deposition, on motion of a party or of the deponent and 452 upon a showing that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in such manner as 453 unreasonably to annoy, embarrass, or oppress the deponent or party, the court in which the 454 action is pending or the court in the district where the deposition is being taken may order the 455 officer conducting the examination to cease forthwith from taking the deposition, or may limit 456 the scope and manner of the taking of the deposition as provided in Rule 26.03. If the order 457 made terminates the examination, it shall be resumed thereafter only upon the order of the 458 court in which the action is pending. Upon demand of the objecting party or deponent, the 459 taking of the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion for an 460 order. The provisions of Rule 37.01(d) apply to the award of expenses incurred in relation to 461 the motion.

462 30.05 Submission to Review by Witness; Changes; Signing

When the testimony is stenographically transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted 464 to the witness for examination and shall be read to or by the witness, unless such examination and reading are waived by the witness and by the parties. Any changes in form or substance 466 which the witness desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a 467 statement of the reasons given by the witness for making them. The deposition shall then be 468 signed by the witness, unless the parties by stipulation waive the signing or the witness is ill, 469 cannot be found, or refuses to sign. If the deposition is not signed by the witness within 30 470 days of its submission to the witness, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact 471 of the waiver or of the illness or absence of the witness, or the fact of the refusal to sign, 472 together with the reason, if any, given therefor, and the deposition may then be used as fully 473 as though signed, unless on a motion to suppress pursuant to Rule 32.04(d) the court holds 474 that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in 475 part.

If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the 477 deponent shall have 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording 478 is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or 479 substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for 480 making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30.06(1) whether 481 any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during

482 the period allowed.

440

444

451

463

476

## 483 30.06 Certification and Filing by Officer: Exhibits; Copies; Notices of Filing

495

505

512 513

514

515

516

517

518

519

525

526

527

528

**530** 

(a) The officer shall certify upon the deposition that the witness was duly sworn by 484 485 the officer and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness, and 486 shall certify that the deposition has been transcribed, that the cost of the original has been 487 charged to the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have 488 been charged at the same rate for such copies. This certificate shall be in writing and 489 accompany the record of the deposition. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed to 490 by the parties, the officer shall securely seal the deposition in an envelope or package 491 endorsed with the title of the action and marked "Deposition of (herein insert the name of 492 witness)," and shall promptly send it to the attorney or party taking the deposition, who shall 493 be identified on the record. who arranged for the transcript or recording, who shall store it 494 under conditions that will protect it against loss, destruction, tampering, or deterioration.

Documents and things produced by or for inspection during the examination of the 496 witness shall, upon the request of a party, be marked for identification and annexed to the 497 deposition, and may be inspected and copied by any party, except that if the person 498 producing the materials desires to retain them, the person may (1) offer copies to be marked 499 for identification and annexed to the deposition and to serve thereafter as originals, if the 500 person affords to all parties fair opportunity to verify the copies by comparison with the 501 originals, or (2) offer the originals to be marked for identification, after giving each party an 502 opportunity to inspect and copy them, in which event the materials may then be used in the 503 same manner as if annexed to the deposition. Any party may move for an order that the 504 original be annexed to and returned with the deposition pending final disposition of the.

- (b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed by the parties, the officer shall 506 retain stenographic notes of any deposition taken stenographically or a copy of the recording 507 of any deposition taken by another method. Upon payment of reasonable charges therefor, 508 the officer shall furnish a copy of the transcript or other recording of the deposition to any 509 party or to the deponent.
- (c) The party taking the deposition shall give prompt notice of its receipt from the 510 511 officer to all other parties.

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

These amendments substantially conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The committee believes it is particularly desirable to have the rules governing the mechanics of taking depositions conform to the federal rules because many depositions are taken for use in parallel state and federal proceedings or in distant locations before reporters who can be expected to know the federal procedures but may not know idiosyncratic Minnesota rules.

Rule 30.04 is largely new and includes important provisions governing the conduct of depositions. Most important is Rule 30.04(a), which is intended to constrain the conduct of attorneys at depositions. The rule limits deposition objections to concise statements that are directed to the record and not so suggesting a possible answer to the deponent. This rule is intended to set a high standard for conduct of depositions. The problem of deposition misconduct, though probably not as severe as has been noted in some reported cases, is still a frequent and unfortunate part of Minnesota practice. See, e.g., Hall v. Clifton Precision, 150 F.R.D. 525 (E.D. Pa. 1993); Paramount Communications, Inc. v. QVC Network, Inc., 637 A.2d 34, 51-57 (Del. 1994); Kelvey v. Coughlin, 625 A.2d 775 (R.I. 1993).

Rule 30.06 is amended to follow its federal counterpart, retaining the existing mechanism for delivering transcripts of depositions to the lawyer or party noticing the 539

547

552

556

#### 533 **RULE 31** DEPOSITIONS OF WITNESSES UPON WRITTEN QUESTIONS

534 31.01 Serving Questions; Notice

- (a) After service of the summons, any A party may take the testimony of any person, 535 536 including a party, by deposition upon written questions without leave of court except as 537 provided in paragraph (2). The attendance of witnesses may be compelled by the use of 538 subpoena as provided in Rule 45.
- (b) A party desiring to take the deposition upon written questions shall serve them 540 upon every other party with a notice stating (1) the name and address of the person who is to 541 answer them, if known, and if the name is not known, a general description sufficient to 542 identify the person or the particular class or group to which the person belongs, and (2) the 543 name or descriptive title and address of the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken. 544 A deposition upon written questions may be taken of a public or private corporation or a 545 partnership, association, or governmental agency in accordance with the provisions of Rule 546 30.02(f).

Within 30 days after the notice and written questions are served, a party may serve 548 cross questions upon all other parties. Within 10 days after being served with cross 549 questions, a party may serve redirect questions upon all other parties. Within 10 days after 550 being served with redirect questions, a party may serve recross questions upon all other 551 parties. The court may for cause shown enlarge or shorten the time.

A party must obtain leave of court, which shall be granted to the extent consistent 553 with the principles stated in Rule 26.02(a), if the person to be examined is confined in prison 554 or if, without the written stipulation of the parties, the person to be examined has already 555 been deposed in the case.

- (c) A party desiring to take a deposition upon written questions shall serve them upon 557 every other party with a notice stating (1) the name and address of the person who is to 558 answer them, if known, and if the name is not known, a general description sufficient to 559 identify the person or the particular class or group to which the person belongs, and (2) the 560 name or descriptive title and address of the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken. 561 A deposition upon written questions may be taken of a public or private corporation or a 562 partnership or association or governmental agency in accordance with the provisions of Rule 563 30.02(f).
- (d) Within 14 days after the notice and written questions are served, a party may 564 565 serve cross questions upon all other parties. Within 7 days after being served with cross 566 questions, a party may serve redirect questions upon all other parties. Within 7 days after 567 being served with redirect questions, a party may serve recross questions upon all other 568 parties. The court may for cause shown enlarge or shorten the time.

## 569 31.02 Officer to Take Responses and Prepare Record

A copy of the notice and copies of all questions served shall be delivered by the party 571 taking the deposition to the officer designated in the notice, who shall proceed promptly, in

- 572 the manner provided by Rules 30.03, 30.05, and 30.06, to take the testimony of the witness in
- 573 response to the questions and to prepare, certify, and return them to the party taking the
- 574 deposition. Upon payment of reasonable charges therefor, the officer shall furnish a copy of
- 575 the deposition to any party or to the deponent file or mail the deposition, attaching thereto the
- 576 copy of the notice and the questions received by the officer.

578

579

580

581

582

**583** 

584

585

586

590

597

608

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. The federal rule was amended in 1993 to create a more usable mechanism for exchanging questions and submitting them to the witness. One goal of this change is to make depositions on written questions a more useful discovery device, recognizing that if it can be used effectively it has good potential for reducing the cost of litigation.

The amendment of this rule also serves the goal of facilitating the handling of these depositions by court reporters and others not regularly exposed to Minnesota practice.

#### 587 RULE 32 **USE OF DEPOSITIONS IN COURT PROCEEDINGS**

588 \* \* \*

## 589 32.03 Effect of Taking or Using Depositions Form of Presentation

A party does not make a person the party's own witness for any purpose by taking that 591 person's deposition. The introduction in evidence of the deposition or any part thereof for 592 any purpose other than that of contradicting or impeaching the deponent makes the deponent 593 the witness of the party introducing the deposition, but this shall not apply to the use by an 594 adverse party of a deposition pursuant to Rule 32.01(b). At the trial or hearing, any party 595 may rebut any relevant evidence contained in a deposition whether introduced by that party or 596 by any other party.

Except as otherwise directed by the court, a party offering deposition testimony 598 pursuant to this rule may offer it in stenographic or nonstenographic form, but, if in 599 nonstenographic form, the party shall also provide the court with a transcript of the portions 600 so offered. On request of any party in a case tried before a jury, deposition testimony offered 601 other than for impeachment purposes shall be presented in nonstenographic form, if available. 602 unless the court for good cause orders otherwise.

603

#### 604 ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS 605 This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart. As is true for the 606 amendments to Rules 30 and 31, the committee believes it is advantageous to have 607

great uniformity in practice in the area of deposition practice because of the likelihood that some of the players in many depositions are totally unfamiliar with Minnesota

609 Procedure.

#### 610 RULE 33 INTERROGATORIES TO PARTIES

## 611 33.01 Availability

612

618

623

632

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

- (a) Any party may serve written interrogatories upon any other party. Interrogatories 613 may, without leave of court, be served upon any party after service of the summons and 614 complaint. No party may serve more than a total of 50 interrogatories upon any other party 615 unless permitted to do so by the court upon motion, notice and a showing of good cause. In 616 computing the total number of interrogatories each subdivision of separate questions shall be 617 counted as an interrogatory.
- (b) The party upon whom the interrogatories have been served shall serve separate 619 written answers or objections to each interrogatory within 30 days after service of the 620 interrogatories, except that a defendant may serve answers or objections within 45 days after 621 service of summons and complaint upon that defendant. The court, on motion and notice and 622 for good cause shown, may enlarge or shorten the time.
- (c) Objections shall state with particularity the grounds for the objection and may be 624 served either as a part of the document containing the answers or separately. Within 15 days 625 after service of objections to interrogatories, the party proposing the interrogatory shall serve 626 notice of hearing on the objections at the earliest practicable time. Failure to serve said 627 notice shall constitute a waiver of the right to require answers to each interrogatory to which 628 objection has been made. The party submitting the interrogatories may move for an order 629 under Rule 37.01 with respect to any objection to or other failure to answer an interrogatory. 630 Answers to interrogatories to which objection has been made shall be deferred until the 631 objections are determined.
- (d) Answers to interrogatories shall be stated fully in writing and shall be signed 633 under oath by the party served or, if the party served is the state, a corporation, a partnership, 634 or an association, by any officer or managing agent, who shall furnish such information as is 635 available. A party shall restate the interrogatory being answered immediately preceding the 636 answer to that interrogatory.

Without leave of court or written stipulation, any party may serve upon any other 637 638 party written interrogatories, not exceeding 50 in number including all discrete subparts, to be 639 answered by the party served or, if the party served is a public or private corporation or a 640 partnership or association or governmental agency, by any officer or agent, who shall furnish 641 such information as is available to the party. Leave to serve additional interrogatories shall 642 be granted to the extent consistent with the principles of Rule 26.02(a).

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

This change retains the existing rule on interrogatories, and does not adopt the 1993 amendment to its federal counterpart. The federal courts adopted in 1993 an express numerical limitation on the number of interrogatories, limiting them to 25. Minnesota took this action to limit discovery in the 1975 amendments to the rules, limiting interrogatories to 50, and this limit has worked well in practice. The committee believes that the other changes in the federal rules are not significant enough in substance to warrant adoption in Minnesota.

The rule, however, is amended in one important way. The existing provision requiring a party receiving objections to interrogatories to move within 15 days to have the objections determined by the court and the waiver of a right to answers if such a motion is not made within the required time has not worked well. There is no reason to require such prompt action, and much to commend more orderly consideration of the objections. The absolute waiver of the old rule gives way to an explicit right to

657 have the matter resolved by the court, and permits that to be done at any time. This 658 permits the party receiving objections to determine their validity, attempt to resolve 659 any dispute, consider the eventual importance of the information, and possibly to take 660 the matter up with the court in conjunction with other matters. All of these reasons 661 favor a more flexible rule.

#### 662 **RULE 37** FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY OR COOPERATE IN DISCOVERY: **SANCTIONS** 663

664 37.01 Motion for Order Compelling Discovery

665

667

673

682

684

698

A party, upon reasonable notice to other parties and all persons affected thereby, may 666 apply for an order compelling discovery as follows:

- (a) Appropriate Court. An application for an order to a party may shall be made to 668 the court in which the action is pending, or, on matters relating to a deponent's failure to 669 answer questions propounded or submitted pursuant to Rule 30 or Rule 31, to the court in the 670 county where the deposition is being taken. An application for an order to a deponent person 671 who is not a party shall be made to the court in the county where the deposition discovery is 672 being, or is to be, taken.
- (b) Motion. If a deponent fails to answer a question propounded or submitted 674 pursuant to Rule 30 or Rule 31, or a corporation or other entity fails to make a designation 675 pursuant to Rule 30.02(f) or Rule 31.01, or a party fails to answer an interrogatory submitted 676 pursuant to Rule 33, or if a party, in response to a request for inspection submitted pursuant 677 to Rule 34, fails to respond that inspection will be permitted as requested or fails to permit 678 inspection as requested, the discovering party may move for an order compelling an answer, 679 or a designation, or an order compelling inspection in accordance with the request. When 680 taking a deposition on oral examination, the proponent of the question may complete or 681 adjourn the examination before applying for an order.

If the court denies the motion in whole or in part, it may make such protective order 683 as it would have been empowered to make on a motion made pursuant to Rule 26.03.

If a deponent fails to answer a question propounded or submitted under Rules 30 or 685 31, or a corporation or other entity fails to make a designation under Rule 30.02(f) or 686 31.01(c), or a party fails to answer an interrogatory submitted under Rule 33, or if a party, in 687 response to a request for inspection submitted under Rule 34, fails to respond that inspection 688 will be permitted as requested or fails to permit inspection as requested, the discovering party 689 may move for an order compelling an answer, or a designation, or an order compelling 690 inspection in accordance with the request. The motion must include a certification that the 691 movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to 692 make the discovery in an effort to secure the information or material without court action. 693 When taking a deposition on oral examination, the proponent of the question may complete or 694 adjourn the examination before applying for an order.

- 695 (c) Evasion or Incomplete Answer. Evasive or Incomplete Answer, or Response. For 696 purposes of this rule subdivision, an evasive or incomplete answer, or response is to be 697 treated as a failure to disclose, answer, or respond.
  - (d) Award of Expenses of Motion. Expenses and Sanctions.

- (1) If the motion is granted, or if the requested discovery is provided after the motion was filed, the court shall, after affording an opportunity-for hearing to be heard, require the party or deponent whose conduct necessitated the motion or the party or attorney advising such conduct or both of them to pay to the moving party the reasonable expenses incurred in obtaining the order, making the motion, including attorney fees, unless the court finds that the opposition to the motion motion was filed without the movant's first making a good faith effort to obtain the discovery without court action, or that the opposing party's nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.
- (2) If the motion is denied, the court may enter any protective order authorized under Rule 26.03 and shall, after affording an opportunity for hearing to be heard, require the moving party or the attorney advising filing the motion or both of them to pay to the party or deponent who opposed the motion the reasonable expenses incurred in opposing the motion, including attorney fees, unless the court finds that the making of the motion was substantially justified or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.
- (3) If the motion is granted in part and denied in part, the court may\_ enter any protective order authorized under Rule 26.03 and may, after affording an opportunity to be heard, apportion the reasonable expenses incurred in relation to the motion among the parties and persons in a just manner.

721

699

700

701

702 703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712 713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

723

727

741

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS-1996 AMENDMENTS

This change conforms the rule to its federal counterpart, consistent with the ongoing differences between the two rules.

#### 725 RULE 43 **EVIDENCE TAKING OF TESTIMONY**

**726** 43.01 Form and Admissibility

In all trials the testimony of witnesses shall be taken orally in open court, unless otherwise provided by statute or 728 by these rules, the Minnesota Rules of Evidence, or other rules adopted by the Supreme Court. All evidence shall be 729 admitted which is admissible under the statutes of this state or under the Minnesota Rules of Evidence. In any case, the 730 statute or rule which favors the reception of the evidence governs, and the evidence shall be presented according to the most 731 convenient method prescribed in any of the statutes or rules to which reference is herein made. The competency of a witness to testify shall be determined in like manner.

### **Examination of Hostile Witnesses and Adverse Parties**

733 43.02 734 A party may interrogate an unwilling or hostile witness by leading questions. A party may call an adverse party or 735 a witness identified with an adverse party, and interrogate either by leading questions and contradict and impeach the party or 736 witness on material matters in all respects as if either had been called by the adverse party. A witness who is an adverse party may be examined by the attorney of the witness upon the subject matter of examination in chief under the rules 738 applicable to direct examination, and may be cross examined, contradicted, and impeached by any other party adversely 739 affected by the testimony of the witness. A witness identified with an adverse party may be cross examined, contradicted, 740 and impeached by any party to the action.

[Abrogated.]

#### 742 43.03 Record of Excluded Evidence

In an action tried by a jury, if an objection to a question propounded to a witness is sustained by the court, the
examining attorney may make a specific offer of what the attorney expects to prove by the answer of the witness. The court
may require the offer to be made out of hearing of the jury. The court may add such other or further statement as clearly
shows the character of the evidence, the form in which it was offered, the objection made, and the ruling thereon. In actions
tried without a jury the same procedure may be followed, except that the court, upon request, shall take and report the
evidence in full, unless it clearly appears that the evidence is not admissible on any ground or that the witness is privileged.

[Abrogated.]

750 \*

751 43.06 Res Ipsa Loquitur

Res ipsa loquitur shall be regarded as nothing more than one form of circumstantial evidence creating a permissive inference of negligence. The plaintiff shall be given the benefit of its natural probative force existing at the close of all the evidence even though the plaintiff has introduced specific evidence of negligence or made specific allegations of negligence in the plaintiff's pleadings.

[Abrogated.]

\* \* \*

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

752 753

754

755

756

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

The changes to this rule conforms it to its federal counterpart. The existing rule predates the adoption of the Minnesota Rules of Evidence, and creates conflicts with those rules in practice. It is appropriate to have all provisions relating to evidence contained in a single location, and to have the rules of civil procedure only refer to those rules where necessary.

## 764 RULE 44 PROOF OF OFFICIAL RECORD

### 765 44.01 Authentication

- 766 (a) **Domestic.** An official record or an entry therein, kept within the United States, or 767 any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession thereof or within the 768 Panama Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the Ryukyu Islands, when 769 admissible for any purpose, may be evidenced by an official publication thereof or by a copy 770 attested by the officer having the legal custody of the record, or by the officer's deputy, and 771 accompanied by a certificate that such officer has the custody. The certificate may be made 772 by a judge of a court of record of the district or political subdivision in which the record is 773 kept, authenticated by the seal of the court, or may be made by any public officer having a 774 seal of office and having official duties in the district or political subdivision in which the 775 record is kept, authenticated by the seal of that office. or within a territory subject to the 776 administrative or judicial jurisdiction of the United States, or an entry therein, when admissible for any purpose, may be evidenced by an official publication thereof or by a copy 778 attested by the officer having the legal custody of the record, or by the officer's deputy, and 779 accompanied by a certificate that such officer has the custody. The certificate may be made 780 by a judge of a court of record of the district or political subdivision in which the record is 781 kept, authenticated by the seal of the court, or may be made by any public officer having a 782 seal of office and having official duties in the district or political subdivision in which the 783 record is kept, authenticated by the seal of the officer's office.
- 784 (b) Foreign. A foreign official record, or an entry therein, when admissible for any 785 purpose, may be evidenced by an official publication thereof; or a copy thereof, attested by a

786 person authorized to make the attestation, and accompanied by a final certification as to the 787 genuineness of the signature and official position of the attesting person, or of any foreign 788 official whose certificate of genuineness of signature and official position relates to the 789 attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness of signature and official position 790 relating to the attestation. A final certification may be made by a secretary of embassy or 791 legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States, or a 792 diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United 793 States. If reasonable opportunity has been given to all parties to investigate the authenticity 794 and accuracy of the documents, the court may, for good cause shown, admit an attested copy 795 without final certification or permit the foreign official record to be evidenced by an attested 796 summary with or without a final certification. (i) of the attesting person, or (ii) of any 797 foreign official whose certificate of genuineness of signature and official position relates to 798 the attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness of signature and official position 799 relating to the attestation. A final certification may be made by a secretary of embassy or 800 legation, consul general, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States, or a diplomatic 801 or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States. If 802 reasonable opportunity has been given to all parties to investigate the authenticity and 803 accuracy of the documents, the court may, for good cause shown, (i) admit an attested copy 804 without final certification or (ii) permit the foreign official record to be evidenced by an 805 attested summary with or without a final certification. The final certification is unnecessary if 806 the record and the attestation are certified as provided in a treaty or convention to which the 807 United States and the foreign country in which the official record is located are parties.

808 \* \* \*

# 809 44.04 Determination of Foreign Law

A party who intends to raise an issue concerning the law of a foreign country shall give notice by pleadings or other reasonable written notice. The court, in determining foreign law, may consider any relevant material or source, including testimony, whether or not submitted by a party or admissible pursuant to Rule 43. The court's determination shall be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

These changes conform the rule to its federal counterpart. These amendments
reflect the view that questions of evidence should be determined under the Minnesota
Rules of Evidence and the decisional law arising under those rules. The existing rule
is not helpful to courts or litigants.

# 820 RULE 81 APPLICABILITY; IN GENERAL

## 821 81.01 Statutory and Other Procedures

(a) Procedures Preserved. These rules do not govern pleadings, practice and procedure in the statutory and other proceedings listed in Appendix A insofar as they are inconsistent or 1824 in conflict with the rules.

825 (b) Procedures Abolished. The writ of quo warranto and information in the nature of 826 quo warranto are abolished. The relief heretofore available thereby may be obtained by 827 appropriate action or appropriate motion under the practice prescribed in these rules. 828

[Abrogated].

832

833 834 835

836

837

838

839

844

845

846

847

848

849

829 (c) Statutes Superseded. Subject to provision (a) of this rule, the statutes listed in 830 Appendix B and all other statutes inconsistent or in conflict with these rules are superseded 831 insofar as they apply to pleading, practice, and procedure in the district court.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS—1996 AMENDMENTS

Rule 81.01(b) should be abrogated to reflect the decision of the Minnesota Supreme Court in Rice v. Connolly, 488 N.W.2d 241, 244 (Minn. 1992), in which the court held: "[W]e have determined that quo warranto jurisdiction as it once existed in the district court must be reinstated and that petitions for the writ of quo warranto and information in the nature of quo warranto shall be filed in the first instance in the district court. The court recognized its retention of original jurisdiction under Minn. Stat. § 480.04 (1990), and also indicated its "future intention to exercise that discretion in only the most exigent of circumstances. We comment further that the reinstatement of quo warranto jurisdiction in the district court is intended to exist side by side with the appropriate alternative forms of remedy heretofore available . . .." 488 N.W.2d at 244. The continued existence of a rule purporting to recognize a procedural remedy now expressly held to exist can only prove misleading or confusing in future litigation. Abrogation of the rule is appropriate to obviate any lack of clarity.

Although Rule 81.01(a) is not amended, the committee recommends that the list of special proceedings exempted from the rules by this rule be updated. An updated Appendix A is included in these proposed amendments.

## APPENDIX A. SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER RULE 81.01

850 Following is a list of statutes and special proceedings which will be excepted from these rules 851 insofar as they are inconsistent or in conflict with the procedure and practice provided by these rules:

852	M.S.A. 1949	·
853	Minn. Stat. (1996)	
854	48.525 to 48.527	Escheated funds of banks and trust companies
855	64.32 64B.30	Quo warranto against fraternal benefit association
	67.42 67A.241	Quo warranto against town mutual fire insurance
857		company
858	73.09 to 73.16	Actions on orders of State Fire Marshal
859	80.14, subd. 2	Actions by Commissioner of Securities
860	80.225	Proceedings by Commissioner of Securities
861	Chapters <del>105 to 113</del> <u>103A-110A</u>	Drainage
862	Chapter 117	Eminent domain proceedings (see also Gen. R. Prac.
863	•	<u>141)</u>
864	160.26	Drainage of roads
865	162.20	Establishment of roads by judicial proceedings
866	Chapter 166	Roads or cartways jointly constructed or improved
867	Chapter 209	Election contests
868	Chapter 253B	Civil commitment
869	Chapter 259	Adoption; change of name
870	<u>Chapter 271.06(7)</u>	Proceedings in tax court

871	Chapter 277	Delinquent personal property taxes
872	Chapter 278	Objections and defenses to taxes on real estate
873	Chapter 279	Delinquent real estate taxes
874	284.07 to 284.26	Actions involving tax titles
875	Chapter 299F.1017	Actions on orders of state fire marshal
	325.21	Quo warranto for violation of statutes regulating trade
877	462.56	Development plan
878	501.33 to 501.38	Proceedings relating to trusts
879	Chapter 503	Townsite lands
	Chapter 508	Registration of title to lands (see also Gen.R. Prac.
881	•	201-216)
882	514.01 to 514.17	Mechanics liens
883	514.35 to 514.39	Motor vehicle liens
884	Chapter 518	Divorce Dissolution of marriage
	540.08	Insofar as it provides for action by parent for injury to
886		minor child (see also Gen. R. Prac. 145)
887	Chapter 556	Action by attorney general for usurpation of office, etc.
	Chapter 558	Partition of real estate (except that part of second
889		sentence of 558.02 beginning 'a copy of which')
890	Chapter 559	Actions to determine adverse claims (except that part
891		of third sentence of 559.02 beginning 'a copy of
892		which')
893	561.11 to 561.15	Petition by mortgagor to cultivate lands
894	573.02	Action for death by wrongful act (as amended by Laws
895		1951, Chapter 697, and Laws 1965, Chapter 837) (see
896		also Gen. R. Prac. 142-144)
897	Chapter 579	Actions against boats and vessels
898	Writ of certiorari	
899	Writ of habeas corpus	•
900	Writ of ne exeat	
901	Writ of mandamus	